Application No.: 09/943,383

Docket No.: 005920 USA/PMG/PCTRL/JW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re Application of

SHANMUGASUNDRAM et al.

Serial No. 09/943,383

Filed: August 31, 2001

August 31, 2001 : Lam.

IN SITU SENSOR BASED CONTROL OF SEMICONDUCTOR PROCESSING

TO OCEDURE

280 For:

Group Art Unit: 2823

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Honorable Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This communication is in response to the Office Action mailed March 25, 2003, having a one-month shortened statutory period of response set to expire by April 25, 2003. A Petition for Extension of Time, together with the requisite fee for same, is submitted herewith, thereby extending the period of response to September 25, 2003. The following remarks are respectfully submitted.

PROVISIONAL ELECTION

Applicant hereby provisionally elects Species I (claims 1-11) for prosecution, with

traverse.

7/29/2003 BSAYRSII 00000065/080219

PATENT/OFFICIAL

Application No.: 09/943,383

Docket No.: 005920 USA/PMG/PCTRL/JW

PATENT/OFFICIAL

TRAVERSE

On March 25, 2003, the Examiner issued an Election of Species requirement requiring

Applicants to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits from a group of eight

identified species.

On September 2, 2003, the undersigned conducted a telephonic meeting with the

Examiner to discuss the instant Election requirement and to provisionally elect a species for

prosecution. In particular, the undersigned suggested that the instant action improperly imposed

an eight-way election of species requirement whereas a two-way restriction requirement. In

response, the Examiner acquiesced, requested that the undersigned traverse the instant action on

those grounds, and indicated that the instant application was improperly classified in Art Unit

2823. The Examiner then indicated that upon receipt of a response traversing the instant election

of species requirement, an attempt would be made to reclassify the application in the proper art

unit. This response follows.

As discussed in the telephonic meeting of September 2, 2003, because the instant

application does not relate to multiple distinct species, this election of species requirement is

respectfully traversed.

Specifically, according to MPEP 806.04(f), "[c]laims to be restricted to different species

must be mutually exclusive."

That section of the MPEP also provides that for two claims to satisfy this requirement of

mutual exclusivity: 1) the limitations recited by the first claim must be directed to a first species

but not to a second species; and 2) the limitations recited by the second claim must be directed to

2

Application No.: 09/943,383

Docket No.: 005920 USA/PMG/PCTRL/JW

the second species but not to a first species. Specifically, MPEP 806.04(f) states:

The general test as to when claims are restricted, respectively, to different species is the fact that one claim recites limitations which under the disclosure are found in a first species but not in a second, while a second claim recites limitations disclosed only for the second species and not the first.

In the present invention, no such case exits. Specifically, the groups of claims identified by the Examiner are not directed to mutually exclusive subject matter.

For these reasons, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the instant election of species requirement.

PATENT/OFFICIAL

Application No.: 09/943,383

Docket No.: 005920 USA/PMG/PCTRL/JW

AUTHORIZATION

No fee is believed to be required except as otherwise indicated in the attached Petition for

an Extension of Time. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional

fees should any be required for this submission, or credit any overpayment to deposit account no.

08-0219.

In the event that an Extension of Time in addition to that requested in the instant petition

for an Extension of Time is required, the Commissioner is requested to grant a petition for that

Extension of Time which is required to make this response timely and is hereby authorized to

charge any fee for such an Extension of Time or credit any overpayment for an Extension of

Time to Deposit Account No. 08-0219.

Respectfully submitted,

HALE AND DORR LLP

Luke X Yeh

Registration No. 43,296

1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

TEL: 202.942.8495

FAX: 202.942.8484

Date: 9/25/03